Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/981,788	FURMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arnold M Kinkead	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Arnold M Kinkead</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Patrick D. McPherson(#46,255).	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 2 nd Para issues and prior art rejection of record		
Claims discussed: 1,6,10,14,18, and 19		
Prior art documents discussed: Carsello et al		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Sur Mule	A	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and applicant's representative resolved 112, second para issues; also, claims 18 and 19 were canceled due to the fact the they did not recite the delaying of the first signal an integer number of the predetermined intervals... as well as the new limitation for claim 19 regarding the waveform having a portion that repeats periodically...(this avoids the advisory action)...

ARNOLD KINKEAD BRIMARY EXAMINER